

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE P277099 3929 02/28/2001 Harri Holma 09/763,946 **EXAMINER** 7590 07/25/2005 PILLSBURY WINTHROP LLP SHAH, CHIRAG G 1600 TYSONS BOULEVARD PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT MCLEAN, VA 22102 2664

DATE MAILED: 07/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	09/763,946	HOLMA ET AL.		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Chirag G. Shah	2664		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 July 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.		
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. 				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		RST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)	
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			because	
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for				
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	iootod olaims		
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))	· -	jecteu ciaims.		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	t (PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		4		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	illowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendr	nent canceling	
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)		vill be entered and an	explanation of	
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	vided below or appended.	0.1		
Claim(s) allowed:		Ait Patel		
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 35-71.		Primary Examin	ner	
Claim(s) rejected. <u>33-77.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•		,	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a	
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after o	entry is below or attac	ched.	
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allows	ance hecause.	
Applicant presents additional claims 72-73 and argume the merit is closed. Applicant continues to argue that I which at least one recevier receives, data packets provide least one of different receivers and different receiver grant respectfully disagrees for the reasons set forth in the firm remain rejected.	ents which do not render the claims laartsen fails to teach or suggest, " ded with a training sequence, whe pups are provided with different tra	allowable after the p transmitting, on a share rein data packets add ining sequences." Ex	rosecution on ared channel on ressed to at caminer	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)		
13. Other:				

Continuation Sheet	(PTOL-303)	
---------------------------	------------	--

Application No.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20050713

This page Blank (USDIO)